1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 * * * 6 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:13-CR-317-KJD-GWF 8 Plaintiff, ORDER 9 v. 10 RANDY JOHNSON, 11 Defendant. 12 13 Before the Court is the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#56) regarding 14 Defendants' Motions to Suppress (#23). No objections have been filed. The Court's obligation is 15 "to arrive at its own independent conclusion about those portions of the magistrate's report to 16 which objections are made." United States v. Remsing, 874 F.2d 614, 618 (9th Cir. 1989). 17 Specifically, the Court is to engage in "de novo" review of the findings and recommendations 18 objected to. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (2012). As no objections have been made, the Court 19 **HEREBY ADOPTS** and **AFFIRMS** the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#56), 20 **DENYING** Defendant's Motion to Suppress (#23). 21 22 DATED this 26th day of August 2014. 23 24 25 Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge 26